## UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO AUDIT AND ADVISORY SERVICES

# Construction: Change Order Management Project #21-036

November 2021



Audit & Advisory Services UCSF Box 0818 1855 Folsom Street San Francisco, CA 94143 tel: 415.476.3851 fax: 415.476.3326

www.ucsf.edu

November 12, 2021

BRIAN NEWMAN Senior Associate Vice Chancellor, UCSF Real Estate

Vice President, UCSF Health

#### SUBJECT: Construction Change Order Management

Audit and Advisory Services ("A&AS") conducted a review of construction projects change order management. The purpose of this review was to assess the processes and internal controls in place over the change order management process for UCSF Campus and Health construction projects.

Our services were performed in accordance with the applicable International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing as prescribed by the Institute of Internal Auditors (the "IIA Standards").

Our review was completed, and the preliminary draft report was provided to department management in September 2021. Management provided final comments and responses to our observations in November 2021. The observations and corrective actions have been discussed and agreed upon with department management and it is management's responsibility to implement the corrective actions stated in the report. A&AS will periodically follow up to confirm that the agreed upon management corrective actions are completed within the dates specified in the final report.

This report is intended solely for the information and internal use of UCSF management and the Ethics, Compliance and Audit Board, and is not intended to be and should not be used by any other person or entity.

Sincerely,

Jene Act you

Irene McGlynn Chief Audit Officer UCSF Audit and Advisory Services



### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

UCSF Audit and Advisory Services completed a review to assess the adequacy of the internal controls over the change order management process for UCSF Campus and Health construction projects.

UCSF Campus and Health Design & Construction (D&C) are Units of UCSF Real Estate responsible for the execution of the UCSF Capital Plan and have teams to provide comprehensive project management including programming and design, budget development, construction administration, inspection and move-in assistance.

UCSF construction projects are governed by the California Public Contract Code and the UC Facilities Manual that provide guidelines for planning, bidding, contracting, design and construction administration.

The UC Facilities Manual describes contract modifications as changes to the work required during construction which can be accomplished through a change order or field order (FO). Specifically, a change order "is a post-award modification to the contract. A change order may revise, add to, or delete previous requirements of the work, adjust the contract sum, or adjust the contract time." An FO is "used only when (1) emergency conditions exist where life or property are endangered, (2) the extent of the work cannot be determined due to unknown conditions, or (3) a delay in proceeding with the work would affect the critical path and cause a delay to the entire Project. Field orders are not to be issued when the scope of the work and an estimated cost can be determined. A change order or a directed change order must be used instead.<sup>1</sup>"

As of FY 2021 UCSF Campus had a total of 58 active construction projects with a total budget of \$895.8M and UCSF Health had a total of 68 active projects with a total budget of \$783.4M.

Effective management and controls over the change order process is important to minimize risks for cost overruns, delays in project completion, unnecessary/unjustifiable owner or contractor-initiated change orders and contractor disputes.

### II. AUDIT PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this review was to assess the adequacy of internal controls over the change order management process for construction projects. The scope was limited to the selection and review of a total of four active construction projects during fiscal year 2021, two each from Campus and UCSF Health:

Campus Projects:

- UCSF Research and Academic Building at ZSFG, \$174,669,674
- 2 North Point Seismic and Tenant Improvement, \$22,902,711

Health Projects:

• Moffitt/Long Operating Room HVAC System Upgrades, \$16,652,478

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UC Facilities Manual Volume 5, Chapter 13: Contract Modifications

• Moffitt 12th East Inpatient Satellite Compounding Pharmacy, \$5,605,401

Procedures performed as part of the review included interviews and walkthroughs with relevant personnel; review of applicable policies and procedures; data analysis of change orders; and validation testing of a sample of change orders to determine if pricing is reviewed and approved and in accordance with contract terms and conditions.

For more detailed procedure steps including sampled projects and change orders reviewed, please refer to Appendix A.

Work performed was limited to the specific activities and procedures described above. As such, this report is not intended to, nor can it be relied upon to provide an assessment of compliance beyond those areas specifically reviewed. Fieldwork was completed in July 2021.

### III. <u>SUMMARY</u>

Based on work performed, the controls over change order management were overall functioning effectively. Opportunities for improvement exist in the areas of change order justification, documentation of assessment performed to determine cost reasonableness and supporting documentation for labor costs.

The specific observations from this review are listed below.

- 1. Justification for additive change orders exceeding \$100,000 was not always prepared or sufficiently documented to support why competitive bidding was not performed.
- 2. Labor cost breakdown and details were either lacking or not sufficient to support the change order cost proposals of UCSF Health projects.

Additionally, during the course of the review, a potential opportunity for improvement was identified regarding greater clarity on the definition and distinction between change order and amendment.

# IV. OBSERVATIONS AND MANAGEMENT CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

| <u>No.</u> | Observation  | Risk/Effect                        | <b>Recommendation</b>               | MCA   |
|------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1          | Justification form for additive change orders              | Without adequate                   | a) As part of the                   | Action Plan:                                  |
|            | exceeding \$100,000 was not always prepared or             | documentation to                   | Change Order                        | (a) UCSF Real Estate will                     |
|            | sufficiently documented to support why                     | support the                        | review process,                     | review the current                            |
|            | competitive bidding was not performed.                     | justification of the               | management                          | departmental standards                        |
|            |  | change order, it is                | should ensure                       | for Change Order                              |
|            | (a) Justification for Change Orders was not                | not possible to                    | justification for                   | documentation for the                         |
|            | present:   | demonstrate why                    | additive change                     | Project Management Staff                      |
|            | In our review of additive change orders with costs         | competitive bidding                | orders exceeding                    | and update as needed. A                       |
|            | exceeding \$100,000, we noted that a Change                | was not performed                  | \$100,000 is                        | Project Managers training                     |
|            | Order (CO) Justification form was not prepared             | and whether costs                  | documented when                     | session will be scheduled                     |
|            | for 2 out of 8 Campus CO's and for 2 out of 5              | proposed by the                    | competitive bidding                 | to review required change                     |
|            | UCSF Health CO's. The details of the change                | contractor are                     | is not performed.                   | order documentation for                       |
|            | orders are as follows:                                     | reasonable.                        |                                     | additive change orders                        |
|            |  | Additionally, there                | b) Project                          | exceeding \$100,000.                          |
|            | Campus   | is increased risk of               | Management staff                    |   |
|            | <ul> <li>UCSF Research and Academic Building at</li> </ul> | non-compliance                     | should adequately                   | (b) Health Design &                           |
|            | ZSFG – CO #10 for \$462,838                                | with UC Faculties                  | document change                     | Construction (D&C)                            |
|            | <ul> <li>2 North Point Seismic and Tenant</li> </ul>       | Manual and                         | order justification to              | Contract Administration                       |
|            | Improvement – CO #6 for \$979,432                          | California Public<br>Contract Code | clearly indicate<br>reasons for not | revised the Change Order                      |
|            | Health   |                                    | doing competitive                   | desk procedure in<br>September to require the |
|            | <ul> <li>Moffitt/Long HVAC: CO #6 for \$268,256</li> </ul> |                                    | bidding, including                  | Contract Manager to                           |
|            | <ul> <li>Moffitt Pharmacy: CO #17 for \$388,014</li> </ul> |                                    | steps performed to                  | review and approve all                        |
|            |  |                                    | determine price                     | Change Orders over                            |
|            | The UC Facilities Manual requires that change orders       |                                    | reasonableness of                   | \$100K to ensure                              |
|            | exceeding \$100,000, be advertised for competitive         |                                    | the cost proposals.                 | compliance with the                           |
|            | bidding. Otherwise, justification in support of the        |                                    |                                     | requirements of the                           |
|            | decision not to competitively bid must be                  |                                    |                                     | UCOP Facilities Manual                        |
|            | documented. In addition, California Public Contract        |                                    |                                     | and the Public Contract                       |
|            | Code (PCC), sections 10507.7 et seq., requires the         |                                    |                                     | Code. The justification                       |
|            | University of California to formally competitively bid     |                                    |                                     | form includes criteria for                    |
|            | the purchase of goods and/or services that exceed          |                                    |                                     | price reasonableness                          |
|            | ≥\$100,000 per annual year unless an exception             |                                    |                                     |   |

| <u>No.</u>  | <b>Observation</b>  | Risk/Effect | <b>Recommendation</b> | MCA   |
|---|---|-------------|-----------------------|---|
|   | applies and the basis for the award is documented in vriting.   |             |                       | Action Complete   |
| ()<br>Ep<br>F<br>gju<br>re<br>tv<br>ad<br>M<br>h<br>b<br>tt<br>c<br>F | <ul> <li>b) Competitive bidding was either not performed or not sufficiently documented as not necessary</li> <li>Explanation as to why competitive bidding was not performed was not sufficiently documented. The two health projects reviewed had five change orders greater that \$100,000. Two change orders had no ustification (as noted above). The justifications for the emaining three changes were deemed to be nsufficient. For campus projects reviewed there were eight change orders had no justification (as noted above) Justification (as noted above) Justification for the remaining six were deemed insufficient.</li> <li>Majority of the change order justifications reviewed had the following documentation as reason for not bidding which were deemed as not adequate since hey do not include all the factors that must be considered in the written justification as per UC Facilities Manual, Volume 5, Chapter 13, Section 13.2.7<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>General Contractor (GC) is the only one who could implement these change orders now during construction; Competitive bidding would delay the end of</li> </ul> |             |                       | <ul> <li>(c) To further reinforce<br/>justification requirements</li> <li>Campus D&amp;C added<br/>additional references to<br/>the Change Order<br/>Justification Form</li> <li>PC05.03.02-F100 in the</li> <li>Playbook SOPs</li> <li>PC05.03.02.1 and</li> <li>PC05.03.02.2.</li> </ul> Action Complete (d) Campus and Health<br>will work together to<br>create a common Design<br>& Construction change<br>order justification form.<br>And also standardize<br>acceptable justifications<br>and determinations of<br>cost reasonableness. Project Managers will<br>receive training on the<br>justification process at a<br>future monthly Mastery of<br>Project Management |

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Per the UC Facilities Manual, the written justification for the substantial change order shall identify the primary factors supporting the decision to proceed by change order. In addition to the primary factors, all of the following factors also must be considered in the written justification to determine if a change order is justified: Cost, Rework, Incidental, Schedule.

| <u>Observation</u>  | <u>Risk/Effect</u>   | Recommendation   | MCA  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <ul> <li>Unfeasible for another GC or sub to work onsite<br/>while the general contractor and their subs are<br/>contracted/onsite;</li> </ul>  |  |  | Target Completion Date: 01/28/2022   |
| <ul> <li>The GC is the awarded contractor so they and<br/>their subs are already performing similar work;<br/>other contractors would not be able to work in the<br/>building while maintaining the expected schedule<br/>of occupancy.</li> </ul>  |  |  | <b>Responsible Party:</b><br>Associate Vice Chancellor,<br>Campus D&C and<br>Executive Director, Health<br>D&C   |
| c) Cost reasonableness was either not performed<br>or not documented for cost proposals:  |  |  |  |
| For the COs where competitive bidding had not been<br>obtained, documentation was not present on the<br>steps performed to determine cost reasonableness of<br>the cost proposals in the absence of competitive<br>bidding.   |  |  |  |
| While the project managers indicated that they have<br>several meetings with the contractors to<br>negotiate/discuss costs, consult with experts within<br>construction and design and at times consult external<br>experts to review costs, this is not documented.  |  |  |  |
| The UC Facilities Manual, Volume 5, Chapter 13,<br>Section 13.2.7, <i>Guidelines for Substantial Change</i><br><i>Orders</i> states that in order to execute an additive<br>change order, it must be "convincingly demonstrated<br>that no advantage would be gained by conducting an<br>advertised bid for the work." Also, the written<br>justification should include the factors (such as those<br>relating to cost and schedule) considered to support<br>the decision not to competitively bid. |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Unfeasible for another GC or sub to work onsite while the general contractor and their subs are contracted/onsite;</li> <li>The GC is the awarded contractor so they and their subs are already performing similar work; other contractors would not be able to work in the building while maintaining the expected schedule of occupancy.</li> <li><b>c) Cost reasonableness was either not performed or not documented for cost proposals:</b></li> <li>For the COs where competitive bidding had not been obtained, documentation was not present on the steps performed to determine cost reasonableness of the cost proposals in the absence of competitive bidding.</li> <li>While the project managers indicated that they have several meetings with the contractors to negotiate/discuss costs, consult with experts within construction and design and at times consult external experts to review costs, this is not documented.</li> <li>The UC Facilities Manual, Volume 5, Chapter 13, Section 13.2.7, <i>Guidelines for Substantial Change Orders</i> states that in order to execute an additive change order, it must be "convincingly demonstrated that no advantage would be gained by conducting an advertised bid for the work." Also, the written justification should include the factors (such as those relating to cost and schedule) considered to support</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Unfeasible for another GC or sub to work onsite while the general contractor and their subs are contracted/onsite;</li> <li>The GC is the awarded contractor so they and their subs are already performing similar work; other contractors would not be able to work in the building while maintaining the expected schedule of occupancy.</li> <li><b>c) Cost reasonableness was either not performed or not documented for cost proposals:</b></li> <li>For the COs where competitive bidding had not been obtained, documentation was not present on the steps performed to determine cost reasonableness of the cost proposals in the absence of competitive bidding.</li> <li>While the project managers indicated that they have several meetings with the contractors to negotiate/discuss costs, consult with experts within construction and design and at times consult external experts to review costs, this is not documented.</li> <li>The UC Facilities Manual, Volume 5, Chapter 13, Section 13.2.7, <i>Guidelines for Substantial Change Orders</i> states that in order to execute an additive change order, it must be "convincingly demonstrated that no advantage would be gained by conducting an advertised bid for the work." Also, the written justification should include the factors (such as those relating to cost and schedule) considered to support</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Unfeasible for another GC or sub to work onsite while the general contractor and their subs are contracted/onsite;</li> <li>The GC is the awarded contractor so they and their subs are already performing similar work; other contractors would not be able to work in the building while maintaining the expected schedule of occupancy.</li> <li><b>c) Cost reasonableness was either not performed or not documented for cost proposals:</b></li> <li>For the COs where competitive bidding had not been obtained, documentation was not present on the steps performed to determine cost reasonableness of the cost proposals in the absence of competitive bidding.</li> <li>While the project managers indicated that they have several meetings with the contractors to negotiate/discuss costs, consult with experts within construction and design and at times consult external experts to review costs, this is not documented.</li> <li>The UC Facilities Manual, Volume 5, Chapter 13, Section 13.2.7, <i>Guidelines for Substantial Change Orders</i> states that in order to execute an additive change order, it must be "convincingly demonstrated that no advantage would be gained by conducting an advertised bid for the work." Also, the written justification should include the factors (such as those relating to cost and schedule) considered to support</li> </ul> |

| <u>No.</u> | <b>Observation</b>  | Risk/Effect   | <b>Recommendation</b>   | MCA  |
|------------|---|---|---|--|
| 2.         | Labor cost breakdown and details were either<br>lacking or not sufficient to support the change<br>order cost proposals of UCSF Health projects.<br>Change orders are made up of cost proposals<br>describing adjustments to the contract sum due to<br>extra work. The general contractor and<br>subcontractors provide supporting documentation to<br>substantiate the costs listed (generally time and<br>materials) on the cost proposal.<br>We noted that 14 out of 20 cost proposals (totaling<br>\$150,000) for UCSF Health construction projects did<br>not have adequate supporting documentation for the<br>labor cost breakdown. The labor rate and time<br>breakdown associated with the extra work was not<br>provided.<br>Per the UC Facilities Manual (Volume 5, Chapter 13.2<br>Change Orders), the proposed costs must be<br>determined to be fair and reasonable. Additionally,<br>the contractor is required to provide an estimate with<br>detailed backup. | In the absence of a<br>detailed labor<br>breakdown<br>provided with the<br>cost proposal, it is<br>difficult to<br>determine if the<br>labor hours<br>required to perform<br>the work is<br>reasonable. | Management<br>should provide<br>training to project<br>management staff<br>to ensure that cost<br>proposals include a<br>breakdown of the<br>labor costs. | Action Plan:<br>The Project Manager is<br>accountable for<br>demonstrating and<br>providing appropriate<br>documentation that the<br>Change Order meets the<br>requirements of the<br>Facilities Manual, Volume<br>5, Chapter 13, Section 2.7.<br>The Project Managers will<br>be trained on these<br>requirements and<br>expectations of UCSF Real<br>Estate Leadership related<br>to appropriate<br>documentation required for<br>Labor Cost Breakdown<br>justification and price<br>reasonableness at a future<br>monthly Mastery of Project<br>Management event.<br>Target Completion Date:<br>01/28/2022<br>Responsible Party:<br>Executive Director, Health<br>D&C |

# V. <u>OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT</u>

| <u>No.</u> | Observa  |   |  |  | Risk/Effect  | <b>Recommendation</b>   |
|------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1          | <ul> <li>There is a lack of clarity on the defination amendments.</li> <li>Currently, change orders and amendments template used for change order and amendments are used to add funds to approved scope. Amendments do not approved scope of owner added change orders for the other hander of owner added change.</li> <li>Upon review of the change orders for the noted that the total number of change or well. Including amendments with change and amount of change orders. A change 10% of original budget can be a red flag construction management process.</li> <li>The table below provides an overview of as per the initial listing provided and the noted during the review:</li> </ul> | ents are us<br>lendment<br>the projec<br>hange the<br>l are addit<br>es or unfo<br>ne four pro<br>rders inclu<br>e orders inclu<br>e orders of<br>e order wi<br>g indicatin | sed interch<br>is the sam<br>t and is wit<br>Maximum<br>ional costs<br>reseen circ<br>ojects selec<br>uded amer<br>an distort<br>th a value<br>g undue ris | angeably. The<br>e.<br>hin the original<br>Acceptance<br>added to the<br>cumstances.<br>ted, it was<br>doments as<br>the number<br>greater than<br>sk in the | By not having a clear<br>definition and distinction<br>between a change order<br>and an amendment, it is<br>open to interpretation<br>and may cause<br>confusion. Also tracking<br>and trending of change<br>orders maybe distorted. | Management should<br>obtain clarity on the<br>definition of an<br>amendment vs change<br>order and update the<br>procedures<br>accordingly. As part of<br>the process,<br>management may want<br>to consider adding a<br>flag in its project<br>management system,<br>Unifier, to track change<br>orders and<br>amendments<br>separately.<br>Additionally, project<br>managers should be<br>trained on the<br>distinction between |
|            | Project  | No. of<br>change<br>orders<br>per<br>report   | Actual<br>no. of<br>change<br>orders   | No. of<br>amendments   |  | contract amendments<br>and change orders  |
|            | UCSF Research and Academic<br>Building at ZSFG   | 24  | 4  | 20   |  |   |
|            | 2 North Point Seismic and Tenant<br>Improvement  | 12  | 7  | 5  |  |   |
|            | Moffitt/Long HVAC  | 8   | 6  | 2  |  |   |
|            | Moffitt Pharmacy   | 21  | 16   | 5  |  |   |

## APPENDIX A – Procedures Performed

To conduct our review, the following procedures were performed for the areas in scope:

- Reviewed University of California Facilities Manual
- Reviewed Campus and UCSF Health procedures and standard operating procedures
- Reviewed contracts for the following four construction projects selected to understand background and project requirements

|                    | Project Name  | Contract<br>Type                      | Total<br>Contract<br>Sum | Total<br>Approved<br>Change<br>Orders <sup>3</sup> | % of<br>Change<br>Order<br>Reviewed |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Campus<br>Projects | UCSF Research<br>and Academic<br>Building at ZSFG   | Design Build                          | \$174,669,674            | \$1,005,626  | 100%                                |
|                    | 2 North Point<br>Seismic and<br>Tenant<br>Improvement   | Construction<br>Management<br>at Risk | \$22,902,711             | \$2,901,998  | 66%                                 |
| Health             | Moffitt/Long<br>Operating Room<br>HVAC System<br>Upgrades, Project<br>No. 13-750                        | Long Form<br>Lump Sum<br>Contract     | \$16,652,478             | \$2,493,960  | 92%                                 |
| Projects           | Moffitt 12 <sup>th</sup> East<br>Inpatient Satellite<br>Compounding<br>Pharmacy, Project<br>No.: 17-119 | Long Form<br>Lump Sum<br>Contract     | \$5,605,401              | \$1,408,383  | 58%                                 |

- Interviewed key personnel to gain an understanding of current processes, including
  - Construction Project Managers
  - Director of Construction Management and Project Controls
  - $\circ$  Director, Business Administration, Health Design & Construction
  - o Director of Project Management Services
  - Director, Health Construction Contracts
  - Chief Administrative Officer, Department of Orthopedic Surgery
- Assessed whether change orders, selected on a judgmental sample basis, were supported with appropriate documentation and conducted the following analyses:
  - Compared change orders to related contract provisions to assess conformance, including around supporting documentation requirements and application of overhead and profit markups.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Excludes amendments. Amendments are used to add funds to the project and is within the original approved scope. Amendments do not change the Maximum Acceptance Cost. A change order on the other hand are additional costs added to the project because of owner added changes or unforeseen circumstances

- Assessed whether change order costs contained unallowable, non-compensable, inappropriate, or duplicative costs.
- Tested whether labor rates, overhead, and fees applied comply with contract terms.
- Assessed whether a review of the change orders merit was performed by the project manager to determine whether the change order work was actually beyond the scope of the base contract.
- Reviewed all change orders exceeding \$100,000 for the selected project to determine if there is appropriate justification for not soliciting bids for the work.